Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

On the existence of solutions for a generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem

Monica Patriche

University of Bucharest e-mail: monica.patriche@yahoo.com

The date of receipt and acceptance will be inserted by the editor

arXiv:1605.03127v1 [math.OC] 10 May 2016

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem and we prove the existence of its solutions by using some suxiliary results. One of the established theorems is proved by using an approximation method.

Keywords: equilibrium problem, solution existence, correspondence, fixed point theorem

MSC 2010: 54C60, 90C33.

1 Introduction

Vector equilibrium problem is a unified model of several problems, for instance, vector variational inequalities and vector optimization problems. For further relevant information on this topic, the reader is referred to the following recent publications available in our bibliography: [1-7], [9-12], [14-17].

In this paper, we will suppose that X is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E , $A : X \to 2^X$ and $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ are correspondences and $C \subset X$ is a nonempty closed convex cone with $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$.

We consider the following generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem (in short, GSVQEOP):

find $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ and each $u \in A(x^*)$ implies that $F(u, x^*, z) \nsubseteq \text{int}C$ for each $z \in A(x^*)$.

Correspondence to:
University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14 Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

2 Preliminary results

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence. T is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \subset V$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(x) \subset V$ for each $y \in U$. T is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if for each x$\in$ X and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in U$. T is said to have *open lower sections* if $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X : y \in T(x)\}$ is open in X for each $y \in Y$.

The following lemma will be crucial in the proofs.

Lemma 1 *(Yannelis and Prabhakar, [18]). Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff topological space and Y be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Let $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence with nonempty convex values and for each $y \in Y$, $T^{-1}(y)$ is open in X. Then, T has a continuous selection that is, there exists a continuous function $f : X \to Y$ such that $f(x) \in T(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

The correspondence $\overline{T}$ is defined by $\overline{T}(x) := \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in \text{cl}_{X\times Y}$ Gr $T\}$ (the set $\text{cl}_{X\times Y}$ Gr (T) is called the adherence of the graph of T). It is easy to see that cl $T(x) \subset \overline{T}(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

If X and Y are topological vector spaces, K is a nonempty subset of X, C is a nonempty closed convex cone and $T : K \to 2^Y$ is a correspondence, then [13], T is called *upper C-continuous at $x_0 \in K$* if, for any neighborhood U of the origin in Y, there is a neighborhood V of x_0 such that, for all $x \in V$, $T(x) \subset T(x_0) + U + C$. T is called *lower C-continuous at $x_0 \in K$* if, for any neighborhood U of the origin in Y, there is a neighborhood V of x_0 such that, for all $x \in V$, $T(x_0) \subset T(x) + U - C$.

The property of properly $C-$quasi-convexity for correspondences is presented below.

Let X be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E, Y be a topological vector space, and C be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior int$C \neq \emptyset$. Let $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence with nonempty values. T is said to be *properly $C-$quasi-convex on X* ([12]), if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, either $T(x_1) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C$ or $T(x_2) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C$.

In order to establish our main theorems, we need to prove some auxiliary results. The starting point is the following statement:

Theorem 1 *Let X be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a nonempty subset of $X \times X$. Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) $\mathcal{C}^-(y) = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in C\}$ is open for each $y \in X$;

b) $\mathcal{C}^+(x) = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in C\}$ is convex and nonempty for each $x \in X$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $(x^*, x^*) \in C$.

Proof Let us define the correspondence $T : X \to 2^X$, by

$T(x) = \mathcal{C}^+(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

The correspondence T is nonempty and convex valued and it has open lower sections.

We apply the Yannelis and Prabhakar's Lemma and we obtain that T has a continuous selection $f : X \to X$.

According to the Tychonoff fixed point Theorem [8], there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $f(x^*) = x^*$. Hence, $x^* \in T(x^*)$ and obviously, $(x^*, x^*) \in \mathcal{C}$.

The next two results are direct consequences of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let* X *be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space* E *, and let* $A : X \to 2^X$ *and* $P : X \times X \to 2^X$ *be correspondences such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) A *has nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;*

b) the set $\{y \in X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap A(x)$ *is nonempty for each* $x \in X$;

c) $\{y \in X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ *is convex for each* $x \in X$;

d) $\{x \in X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ *is open for each* $y \in X$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ *such that* $x^* \in A(x^*)$ *and* $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$.

Proof Let us define the set $\mathcal{C} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap \mathrm{Gr}A$.

Then, $\mathcal{C}^+(x) = \{y \in X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap A(x)$ for each $x \in X$ and

$\mathcal{C}^-(y) = A^{-1}(y) \cap \{x \in X : A(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ for each $x \in X$.

Assumption b) implies that $\mathcal{C}$ is nonempty. The set $\mathcal{C}^-(y)$ is open for each $y \in X$ since Assumptions a) and d) hold.

According to Assumptions b) and c), $A(x) \cap \mathcal{C}^+(x)$ is nonempty and convex for each $x \in X$.

All hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled, and then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in A(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$.

We establish the following result as a consequence of Theorem 2. It will be used in order to prove the existence of solutions for the considered vector quasi-equilibrium problem.

Theorem 3 *Let* X *be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space* E *, and let* $A : X \to 2^X$*,* $P : X \times X \to 2^X$ *be correspondences such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) A has nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;

b) the set $\{y \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x,y) = \emptyset\} \cap A(x)$ is nonempty for each $x \in X$;

c) $\{y \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x,y) = \emptyset\}$ is convex for each $x \in X$;

d) $\{x \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x,y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $y \in X$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in A(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*,x^*) = \emptyset$.

We note that, according to Theorem 2, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in A(x^*)$ and $\overline{A}(x^*) \cap P(x^*,x^*) = \emptyset$. Obviously, $\overline{A}(x^*) \cap P(x^*,x^*) = \emptyset$ implies $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*,x^*) = \emptyset$.

If $A(x) = X$ for each $x \in X$, Theorem 2 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let X be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E , and let $P : X \times X \to 2^X$ be a correspondence such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) $\{y \in X : P(x,y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty and convex for each $x \in X$;

b) $\{x \in X : P(x,y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $y \in X$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $P(x^*,x^*) = \emptyset$.

By applying an approximation method of proof, we can prove Theorem 4.

Theorem 4 *Let X be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E and $\mathcal{C}$ be a nonempty, closed subset of $X \times X$. Assume that there exists a sequence $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of subsets of $X \times X$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $G_k^-(y) = \{x \in X : (x,y) \in G_k\}$ *is open for each $y \in X$;*

b) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $G_k^+(x) = \{y \in X : (x,y) \in G_k\}$ *is convex and nonempty for each $x \in X$;*

c) $G_k \supseteq G_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$;

d) for every open set G with $G \supset \mathcal{C}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $G_k \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $(x^*,x^*) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof For each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we apply Theorem 1. Let $x^k \in X$ such that $(x^k,x^k) \in G_k$. Since X is a compact set, we can consider that the sequence $(x^k)_k$ converges to some $x^* \in X$. We claim that $(x^*,x^*) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Indeed, let us suppose, by way of contradiction, that $(x^*,x^*) \notin \mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is nonempty and compact, we can choose a neighborhood $V_{(x^*,x^*)}$ of (x^*,x^*) and an open set G such that $G \supset \mathcal{C}$ and $V_{(x^*,x^*)} \cap G = \emptyset$. According to Assumptions d) and c), there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $G_k \subseteq G$ for each $k \geq k_1$. Since $V_{(x^*,x^*)}$ is a neighborhood of (x^*,x^*), there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $(x^k,x^k) \in V_{(x^*,x^*)}$ for each $k \geq k_2$. Hence, for $k \geq \max(k_2,k_1)$, $(x^k,x^k) \notin G_k$, which is a contradiction.

Consequently, $(x^*,x^*) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 5 is a consequence of Theorem 4 and it will be used in Section 3 in order to prove the existence of solutions for GSVQEP.

Theorem 5 *Let X be a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E , and let $A : X \to 2^X$ and $P : X \times X \to 2^X$ be correspondences such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) A has nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;

b) the set $U = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is closed and $U \cap \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A}$ is nonempty;

c) there exists a sequence $(P_k)_{k\in N}$ of correspondences, where, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $P_k : X \times X \to 2^X$ and let $U_k = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A(x) \cap P_k(x, y) = \emptyset\}$. Assume that:

c1) $U_k^+(x) = \{y \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P_k(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is convex for each $x \in X$ and $U_k^+(x) \cap A(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$;

c2) $U_k^-(y) = \{x \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P_k(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $y \in X$;

c3) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $P_k(x, y) \subseteq P_{k+1}(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$;

c4) for every open set G with $G \supset U \cap \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $G \supseteq U_k \cap \mathrm{Gr}A$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$.

Proof Let us define $\mathcal{C} = U \cap \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A}$. According to Assumptions b) and c), $\mathcal{C}$ is a nonempty and closed subset of $X \times X$.

Further, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let us define $G_k = U_k \cap \mathrm{Gr}A \subseteq X \times X$.

Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $G_k^+(x) = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in G_k\} = U_k^+(x) \cap A(x)$ is nonempty and convex for each $x \in X$, since Assumptions a) and c1) hold.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $G_k^-(y) = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in G_k\} = U_k^-(y) \cap A^{-1}(y)$ is open for each $y \in X$, since Assumptions a) and c2) hold.

Assumption c3) implies that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $U_{k+1} \subseteq U_k$ and then, $G_k \supseteq G_{k+1}$ and Assumption c4) implies that for every open set G with $G \supset \mathcal{C}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $G_k \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

All hypotheses of Theorem 4 are verified. Therefore, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $(x^*, x^*) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Consequently, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$.

3 Main results

This section is devoted to the study of the existence of solutions for the considered generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem. We derive our main results by using the auxiliary theorems concerning correspondences, which have been established in the previous section. This new approach to solve GSVQEP is intended to provide new conditions under which the solutions exist.

The first theorem states that GSVQEP has solutions if $F(\cdot, y, \cdot)$ is lower $(-C)$-semicontinuous for each $y \in X$ and $F(u, \cdot, z)$ is properly $C-$ quasi-convex for each $(u, z) \in X \times X$.

Theorem 6 *Let $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ be a correspondence with nonempty values. Suppose that:*

a) A has nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;

b) for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in A(x)$ such that each $u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F(u, y, z) \nsubseteq intC$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)$;

c) $F(\cdot, y, \cdot) : X \times X \to 2^X$ is lower $(-C)$-semicontinuous for each $y \in X$;

d) for each $(u, z) \in X \times X$, $F(u, \cdot, z) : X \to 2^X$ is properly $C-$ quasi-convex.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in A(x^*)$ and each $u \in A(x^*)$ implies that $F(u, x^*, z) \nsubseteq intC$ for each $z \in A(x^*)$, that is, x^* is a solution for GSVQEP.

Proof Let us define $P : X \times X \to 2^X$, by

$P(x, y) = \{u \in X : \exists z \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F(u, y, z) \subseteq C\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption b) implies that the set $\{y \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap A(x)$ is nonempty for each $x \in X$.

We claim that the set $E(x)$ is convex for each $x \in X$, where $E(x) = \{y \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\} =$

$= \{y \in X :$ each $u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F(u, y, z) \nsubseteq C$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)\}$.

Indeed, let us fix $x_0 \in X$ and let us consider $y_1, y_2 \in E(x_0)$. This means that each $u \in \overline{A}(x_0)$ implies that $F(u, y_1, z) \nsubseteq C$ and $F(u, y_2, z) \nsubseteq C$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x_0)$.

Let $y(\lambda) = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ be defined for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

We claim that $y(\lambda) \in E(x_0)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$, $u' \in \overline{A}(x_0)$ and $z' \in \overline{A}(x_0)$ such that $F(u', y(\lambda_0), z') \subseteq C$. Since $(F(u', \cdot, z') : X \to 2^X$ is properly $C-$ quasi-convex, we have that:

$F(u', y_1, z') \subseteq F(u', y(\lambda), z') + C$ or $F(u', y_2, z') \subseteq F(u', y(\lambda), z') + C$

On the other hand, it is true that $F(u', y(\lambda), z') \subseteq C$. We obtain that:

$F(u', y_j, z') \subseteq C + C \subseteq C$ for $j = 1$ or for $j = 2$.

This contradicts the assumption that $y_1, y_2 \in E(x_0)$. Consequently, $E(x_0)$ is convex and Assumption c) from Theorem 3 is fulfilled.

Now, we will prove that $D(y) = \{x \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $y \in X$.

In order to do this, we will show that ${}^C D(y)$ is closed for each $y \in X$, where ${}^C D(y) = \{x \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$=$\{x \in X :$ there exist $u, z \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F(u, y, z) \subseteq C\}$.

Let $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a net in ${}^C D(y)$ such that $\lim_\alpha x_\alpha = x_0$. Then, there exist $u_\alpha, z_\alpha \in \overline{A}(x_\alpha)$ such that $F(u_\alpha, y, z_\alpha) \subseteq C$.

Since X is a compact set, we can suppose that $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, (z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are convergent nets and let $\lim_\alpha u_\alpha = u_0$ and $\lim_\alpha z_\alpha = z_0$.

The closedness of $\overline{A}$ implies that $u_0, z_0 \in \overline{A}(x_0)$.

Now, we claim that $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subseteq C$.

Since $F(u_\alpha, y, z_\alpha) \subseteq C$ and $F(\cdot, y, \cdot) : X \times X \to 2^X$ is lower $(-C)$-semicontinuous, for each neighborhood U of the origin in X, there exists a subnet $(u_\beta, z_\beta)_\beta$ of $(u_\alpha, z_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subset F(u_\beta, y, z_\beta) + U + C$. Hence, $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subset U + C$.

We will show that $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subset C$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there exists $t \in F(u_0, y, z_0) \cap {}^C C$. We note that $B = C - t$ is a closed set which does not contain 0. It follows that ${}^C B$ is open and contains 0. Since X is locally convex, there exists a convex neighborhood U_1 of origin such that $U_1 \subset X \backslash B$ and $U_1 = -U_1$. Thus, $0 \notin B + U_1$ and then, $t \notin C + U_1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subset C$.

We proved that there exist $u_0, z_0 \in \overline{A}(x_0)$ such that $F(u_0, y, z_0) \subseteq C$. It follows that ${}^C D(y)$ is closed. Then, $D(y)$ is an open set and Assumption d) from Theorem 3 is fulfilled.

Consequently, all conditions of Theorem 3 are verified, so that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in A(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$. Obviously, x^* is a solution for GSVQEP.

In order to obtain a second result concerning the existence of solutions of GSVQEP, we use an approximation method and Theorem 5. We mention that this result does not require convexity properties for the correspondence F.

Theorem 7 *Let $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ be a correspondence. Suppose that:*

a) A has nonempty, convex values and open lower sections; $\overline{A}$ is lower semicontinuous;

b) F is upper semicontinuous with nonempty, closed values;

c) $U \cap \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A}$ is nonempty, where $U = \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F(u, y, z) \nsubseteq intC$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)\}$;

d) there exists a sequence $(F_k)_{k \in N}$ of correspondences, such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $F_k : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ and let $U_k = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F_k(u, y, z) \nsubseteq intC$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)\}$. Assume that:

d1) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in A(x)$ such that each $u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F_k(u, y, z) \nsubseteq intC$ for each $z \in A(x)$;

d2) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for each $(u, z) \in X \times X$, $F_k(u, \cdot, z) : X \to 2^X$ is properly $C-$ quasi-convex;

d3) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for each $y \in X$, $F_k(\cdot, y, \cdot) : X \times X \to 2^X$ is lower $(-C)$-semicontinuous;

d4) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$, and for each $u \in X$ with the property that $\exists z \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F_k(u, y, z) \subseteq C$, there exists $z' \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F_{k+1}(u, y, z') \subseteq C$;

d5) for every open set G with $G \supset U \cap \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $G \supseteq U_k \cap GrA$.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ *such that* $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ *and each* $u \in A(x^*)$ *implies that* $F(u, x^*, z) \nsubseteq intC$ *for each* $z \in A(x^*)$*, that is,* x^* *is a solution for GSVQEP.*

Proof Let us define $P : X \times X \to 2^X$, by

$P(x, y) = \{u \in X : \exists z \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F(u, y, z) \subseteq C\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

We claim that, $U = \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F(u, y, z) \nsubseteq \text{int}C$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)\}$ is closed.

Let $(x^0, y^0) \in \text{cl}U$. Then, there exists $(x^\alpha, y^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ a net in U such that $\lim_\alpha (x^\alpha, y^\alpha) = (x^0, y^0) \in X \times X$. Let $u \in \overline{A}(x^0)$ and $z \in \overline{A}(x^0)$. Since $\overline{A}$ is lower semicontinuous and $\lim_\alpha x^\alpha = x^0$, there exists nets $(u^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and $(z^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in X such that $u^\alpha, z^\alpha \in \overline{A}(x^\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $\lim_\alpha u^\alpha = u$, $\lim_\alpha z^\alpha = z$. Since $(x^\alpha, y^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a net in U, then, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $F(u^\alpha, y^\alpha, z^\alpha) \nsubseteq \text{int}C$, that is, $F(u^\alpha, y^\alpha, z^\alpha) \cap W \neq \emptyset$, where $W = X \backslash \text{int}C$, that is, there exists $(t^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ a net in X such that $t^\alpha \in F(u^\alpha, y^\alpha, z^\alpha) \cap W$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Since X is compact, we can suppose that $\lim_\alpha t^\alpha = t^0$. The closedness of W implies that $t^0 \in W$. We invoke here the closedness of F and we conclude that $t^0 \in F(u, y^0, z)$. Therefore, $F(u, y^0, z) \cap W \neq \emptyset$, and, thus, $u \in \overline{A}(x^0)$ implies $F(u, y^0, z) \nsubseteq \text{int}C$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x^0)$. Hence, U is closed.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let us define $P_k : X \times X \to 2^X$, by

$P_k(x, y) = \{u \in X : \exists z \in \overline{A}(x)$ such that $F_k(u, y, z) \subseteq C\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and

$U_k = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : u \in \overline{A}(x)$ implies that $F_k(u, y, z) \nsubseteq \text{int}C$ for each $z \in \overline{A}(x)\} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P_k(x, y) = \emptyset\}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Assumption d1) implies that $U_k^+(x) \cap A(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$ and Assumption d2) implies that $U_k^+(x)$ is convex for each $x \in X$ (we use a similar proof with the one of Theorem 6)

Since $F_k(\cdot, y, \cdot) : X \times X \to 2^X$ is lower $(-C)$-semicontinuous for each $y \in X$, by following an argument similar with the one from the proof of Theorem 6, we can prove that

$U_k^-(y) = \{x \in X : \overline{A}(x) \cap P_k(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $y \in X$.

Assumption d4) implies that $P_k(x, y) \subseteq P_{k+1}(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

All conditions of Theorem 5 are verified, so that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ and $A(x^*) \cap P(x^*, x^*) = \emptyset$. Obvioulsy, x^* is a solution for GSVQEP.

4 Concluding remarks

This paper developed a framework for discussing the existence of solutions for a generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem. The results have

been obtained under assumptions which are different than the existing ones in literature. An approximation technique of proof has been developed.

References

1. B. Alleche, V.D. Rădulescu, *Equilibrium problems techniques in the qualitative analysis of quasi-hemivariational inequalities,* Optimization **64** (2015), 1855-1868.
2. G. C. Bento, J. X. Cruz Neto, J. O. Lopes, P. A. Soares Jr, and A. Soubeyran, *Generalized Proximal Distances for Bilevel Equilibrium Problems,* SIAM J. Optim. **26** (2016), 810–830.
3. A. Capătă, Optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems and their applications, J.Ind. Manag. Optim., 9 (2013), 659–669.
4. A. Capătă, Optimality conditions for strong vector equilibrium problems under a weak constraint qualification, J. Ind.Manag. Optim., **11** (2015), 563–574.
5. A.P. Farajzadeh, S. Plubtieng, K. Ungchittrakool and D. Kumtaeng, *Generalized mixed equilibrium problems with generalized* ■-■*-monotone bifunction in topological vector spaces,* Applied Mathematics and Computation **265** (2015), 313–319.
6. Y. Han and N.-J. Huang, The connectedness of the solutions set for generalized vector equilibrium problems, Optimization, 65 (2016), 357-367
7. N.-J. Huang , X.-J. Long and C.-W. Zhao, Well-posedness for vector quasi-equilibrium problems with applications, J.Ind. Manag. Optim. 5 (2009), 341–349
8. V. Istratescu, Fixed Point Theory, An Introduction, D.Reidel, Holland (1981).
9. W. Kumam, U. Witthayarat, P. Kumam, S. Suantai and K. Wattanawitoon, Convergence theorem for equilibrium problem and Bregman strongly nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Optimization, 65 (2016), 265-280
10. X.-B. Li and N.-J. Huang, *Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems on Hadamard manifolds,* Optimization Letters **9** (2015), 155-170.
11. L.J. Lin, L.F. Chen and Q.H. Ansari, Generalized abstract economy and systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math* 208 (2007), 341-352.
12. XJ Long, NJ Huang, KL Teo, Existence and stability of solutions for generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 47 (2008), 445-451
13. D.T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization,* in: Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematics Systems, vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, New York,1989.
14. M. Patriche, *New results on systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems,* Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics **19,** (2015), 253-277.
15. M. Patriche, *Applications of the KKM property to coincidence theorems, equilibrium problems, minimax inequalities and variational relation problems,* submitted.
16. M. Patriche, *Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems involving correspondences and relaxed continuity,* submitted
17. Q. S. Qiu, Optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems with constraints, J. Ind.Manag. Optim., 5 (2009), 783–790.
18. N. C. Yannelis, N. D. Prabhakar, *Existence of maximal elements and equilibrium in linear topological spaces.* J. Math. Econom. **12** (1983), 233-245.